

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/609,280 06/27/2003 Jonathan N. Howarth 8568/7776 8295 10/18/2005 **EXAMINER** Audrey A. Millemann HOEY, BETSEY MORRISON Weintraub Genshlea Chediak Sproul Law Corporation ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11th Floor 400 Capitol Mall 1724 Sacramento, CA 95814

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/609,280	HOWARTH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Betsey M. Hoey	1724		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period fo	or Reply		·		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the properties of the mailing date of the properties of the properti	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communicati NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ılv 2005.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-104</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>93-98</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-28,30-41 and 57-76</u> is/are allowed.				
	☐ Claim(s) <u>5,17,29,42,56,77-92 and 99-104</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-104</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
	on Papers				
_	•	,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	(4)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
		arrimer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of Ionni P10-132.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	red.		
Attachment	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	ademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,280

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 93-98 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse.

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 5, 17, 29, 42, 56, 77-85, 99, 100, 102 and 103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,506,418 to McKinnie et al. McKinnie et al. teach bromine solutions produced by feeding Br2 and/or Cl2 into water. It is submitted that in a product claim, the method of arriving at the product does not patentably distinguish the product from a prior art product. It is also submitted that if the product of the prior art and the claims are not patentably distinguishable from one another, then the product of the instant claims and the product of the prior art are considered to have the same properties. It is further submitted that the product of McKinnie et al. is capable of being used for microbiological control of a water system. It is further submitted that McKinnie et al. is just one example of prior art bromine and bromine/chlorine solutions that anticipate the instant claims, as other prior art bromine and bromine/chlorine solutions are too numerous to list.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/609,280

Art Unit: 1724

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 86-92, 101 and 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,558,503 to Goodenough et al. Goodenough et al. disclose stable bromo-sulfamate compositions used for disinfection of water systems, which may comprise the addition of alkali metal or alkaline earth hydroxide. The claims differ from Goodenough by reciting that the composition is solid (claims 86, 101, 104). It is submitted that it is well-known in the art of disinfectants to dehydrate a composition in order to facilitate lighter transport and distribution of the disinfectant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the present invention was made, to have provided the stable bromo-sulfamate of Goodenough et al. as a solid that may be hydrated.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-28, 30-41 and 57-76 are allowed for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsey Hoey whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1158**. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, may be reached at (571) 272-1166. Any inquiry of general nature may be directed to the Group receptionist at (571) 272-0987. The centralized fax number for the Group is (571) 273-8300.

PRIMARY EXAMINER October 14, 2005